

Link NCA NUTRITION CAUSAL ANALYSIS

Quantitative Data Management and Analysis Session – SPSS
Alexandra Humphreys
23 March 2020













Objectives of this Session

- Review current best practices for Link NCA Quantitative Data Management and Analysis
- Review descriptive statistics for samples
- Review analysis of statistical associations
- Review presentation of results

Note: this training does not cover the selection or operationalization of hypothesized risk factors, as this training is catered towards the handling of data post quantitative data collection.

A Note on Data Cleaning

Data cleaning is a critical step in quality results. The removal or modification of observations in the dataset during cleaning should be justified and documented. This serves to:

- Increase accountability of the analyst
- Ensure that results can be replicated (ensuring validity)

(Using SPSS, for example, these changes are documented using the SPSS syntax recorded as a word file. If changes are made in an Excel, they should be documented elsewhere)



Missing and Unknown Data

Missing data should never be filled in without a strong justification. Empty variables should be left blank, and if a large proportion of the responses are missing (rule of thumb: >20%), this should be discussed because this may risk the representativeness of the data.

<u>HOWEVER</u>: having an "unknown" option for quantitative questions is very important, this avoids respondents/surveyors being forced to make a response fit into a "yes/no" answer.

For calculating statistical associations, "unknown" responses should be coded as missing as they do not contribute to the analysis.

Global Technical Assistance Mechanism for Nutrition



Descriptive Statistics



Analysing and Reporting Prevalence

When basing the quantitative data collection on the SMART Methodology, it is possible to analyze and report the prevalence of binary or categorical or indicators for the area/population of interest.

However:

- The prevalence must be calculated in consideration of the sampling methodology (cluster or simple random sampling).
- The area/population for the prevalence must be clearly stated (i.e. if calculating the prevalence among all households or uniquely among households with children <5 yrs)

Example: Analysing Prevalence

+confidence interval

+design effect



Prevalence SPSS coding example to be tested:

Requires SPSS "Complex Samples" module to account for sampling design

1. From the menus choose:

Analyze > Complex Samples > Frequencies...

- 2. Select a plan file.
- 3. Click Continue.
- 4. In the Complex Samples Frequencies dialog box, select the frequency (independent) variable.
- 5. In cells, request confidence interval using the specified level (95%) and design effect

Example: Presenting Prevalence

Prevalence and 95% CI should be presented for each binary or categorical variable, with the population clearly noted in the report. n=affected

N=overall sample

n=affected sample subset

For this example, the prevalence is based only on households with children under five and was reported as such.

Lo	1		
Indicator	N	n	Prevalence [95% CI]
Male child	416	201	48.3% [43.6-53.1]
Female head of household	416	157	37.7% [29.9-46.3]
Male child and female head of household	201	73	36.3% [27.9-45.6]
Barriers to access of health center	414	281	67.9% [59.0-75.7]
Fever	414	189	45.7% [38.8-52.7]

95% CI in accordance with sampling design



Presenting Design Effect

Reporting the **design effect** (DEFF) allows us to assess the heterogeneity of the risk factor.

DEFF

Generally
speaking, ≤1.00
DEFF indicates
homogeneity,
around 1,50
some
heterogeneity,
≥2.00 high
heterogeneity.

Risk Factor								
Logistic Regression Indicator N n [95% CI]								
Male child	416	201	48.3% [43.6-53.1]	0.94				
Female head of household	416	157	37.7% [29.9-46.3]	3.02				



Example: Analysing Mean

Mean SPSS coding example to be tested:

Requires SPSS "Complex Samples" module to account for sampling design

Obtaining Complex Samples Descriptives

1. From the menus choose:

Analyze > Complex Samples > Descriptives...

- 2. Select a plan file.
- 3. Click Continue.
- 4. In the Complex Samples Descriptives dialog box, select at least one measure (independent) variable.
- 5. In cells, request summaries (mean), and confidence interval using the specified level (95%) and design effect



Example: Presenting the Mean

Mean and 95% CI should be presented for each continuous variable, with the population clearly noted in the report.

Mean and 95% CI

Mean and 95% CI in accordance with sampling design

For this example, the mean is based only on households with children under five and was reported as

such.

N=overall sample

Risk Factor Linear Regressio											
Indicator	N	Mean [95% CI ¹	Std. Dev.								
Distance to health center (hours)	416	1.68 [1.23-2.14]	1.45								
Number of prenatal consultations	327	4.12 [3.94-4.30]	0.93								
Birth spacing (months)	223	27.1 [24.7-29.4]	10.54								



Analysing and Reporting Design Effect

Reporting the **design effect** (DEFF) allows us to understand the heterogeneity of the risk factor.

Generally
speaking, ≤1.00
DEFF indicates
homogeneity,
around 1,50
some
heterogeneity,
≥2.00 high
heterogeneity.

Risk Factor Linear Regression									
Indicator	N	Mean [95% CI]	Std. Dev.	Design effect					
Distance to health center (hours)	416	1.68 [1.23-2.14]	1.45	10.25					
Number of prenatal consultations	327	4.12 [3.94-4.30]	0.93	2.92					
Birth spacing (months)	223	27.1 [24.7-29.4]	10.54	2.75					

DEFF



Statistical Associations



Analyze One Risk Factor at a Time

Important note: **multivariate analysis** of statistical associations is <u>not</u> recommended by the Link NCA at this time. The independent variables (risk factors) should be examined one at a time against dependent (outcome) variables. For two reasons:

- Multivariate analysis is highly complex and requires robust consideration of confounding factors.
- We want to refrain from comparing strength of statistical significance between independent variables. We are interested in statistical significance (p<0.05 yes/no only), then these associations are mapped to demonstrate pathways.



Logistic Regression

Logistic regression is a method of demonstrating statistical significance between an independent variable (risk factor) and an outcome variable.

Requirements:

 The outcome and independent variable must both be binary (0/1)

With '1' being the condition of interest

Logistic Regression SPSS to be tested:
LOGISTIC REGRESSION
/DEPENDENT outcome_variable
/METHOD=ENTER independent_variable



Logistic Regression

For **logistic regression**, the sampling method is not considered because we are interested in the statistical association (p-value), not in representativeness.

P-value (.Sig) to demonstrate statistical significance (<0,05)

Outcome Variable									
GA	M (MUAC)	Com	bined GAM*						
Childre	n 6-59 months	Childre	n 6-59 month						
P-value	Odds Ratic	P-value	Odds <u>Patio</u>						
r-value	[95% CI]	r-value	[95% CI]						
0.626	0.84	0.909	0.97						
0.626	[0.41-1.71]	0.909	[0.54-1.72]						
0.056	1.02	0.819	1.05						
0.956	[0.57-1.80]	0.819	[0.68-1.62]						
0.471	1.65	0.607	0.79						
0.471	[0.42-6.38]	0.007	[0.32-1.93]						

Odds
ratio
(Exp(B))
and 95%
CI to
show
directiona
lity and
precision.



Linear Regression

Linear regression is a method of modelling the relationship between an independent variable (risk factor) and an outcome variable.

Requirements:

- The outcome variable must be continuous
- The risk factor should be continuous (can be categorical but requires special attention)

Linear Regression SPSS to be tested:
REGRESSION
/DEPENDENT outcome_variable
/METHOD=ENTER independent_variable





Linear Regression

For **linear regression**, the sampling method is also not considered because we are interested in the statistical association (p-value), not in representativeness. Coefficient

> WHZ MUAC Coeff SE SE Coeff P-value P-value 0.384 U.03 0.04 0.184 -0.610.46 Standard Error 0.575 -0.040.07 0.136 1.13 0.75 (SE) functions 0.09 0.09 0.346 -0.010.01 0.277 similarly to a

P-value to demonstrate statistical significance (<0,05)



Global Technical Assistance Mechanism for Nutrition

helps to infer

directionality

(interpret

carefully)

standard

deviation (SD)

Interpreting Directionality

Although we do not attempt to compare the strength of statistical associations between risk factors (p-value <0.05 yes/no only) we do try to interpret *directionality*.

From this, we can hypothesize if a risk factor is a <u>risk</u> <u>factor</u> or actually a <u>protective factor</u>.

Risk factor: increases likelihood of undernutrition

Protective factor: decreases likelihood of undernutrition



Logistic regression interpretation

Interpreting Directionality

Examples:

Diarrhea/wasting association (p<0.05) with an odds ratio >1 is a risk factor – the odds of being malnourished increase.

Measles vaccination/stunting association (p<0.05) with an odds ratio <1 is a protective factor – the odds of being malnourished decrease.

Linear regression interpretation (*is complicated, take your time to think through the results!*) Examples (assuming p<0.05):

Interpreting Directionality

Each one unit increase in household size (person) decreases (negative coefficient) the child's MUAC (mm) – larger household size is a risk factor

Each one unit increase of child's age (months) increases (positive coefficient) the child's WHZ – child's older age is a protective factor



Note: we do not try to quantify the increase or decrease, our aim is to understand directionality

Presentation of Results



Risk Factor Color Codes

More recently, Link NCA has introduced color coding of regression results to ease interpretation.

For risk factors:

P<0.05 is orange to highlight statistical significance
P≥0.05 and <0.10 although not statistically significant, is coded as lighter orange to highlight a potential association for future research

For protective factors:

P<0.05 is green to highlight statistical significance
P≥0.05 and <0.10 also coded as Lighter green to highlight a potential association for future research



Annexing Analysis

Example logistic regression results table

					Outcome Variable							
Risk factor												nting
Logistic regression					Children 6-				Children 6		Children 6	-59 months
Indicator	N	n	Prevalence [95% CI]	Design effect	P-value	Odds Ratio [95% CI]	P-value	Odds Ratio [95% CI]	P-value	Odds Ratio [95% CI]	P-value	Odds Ratio [95% CI]
Male child	356	174	48.9% [43.7-54.1]	1.00	0.551	1.36 [0.49-3.76]	0.899	0.14 [0.02-1.18]	0.940	1.04 [0.40-2.69]	0.809	0.94 [0.58-1.53]
Female head of household	356	234	65.7% [62.5-68.8]	0.40	0.172	0.49 [0.18-1.36]	0.827	0.85 [0.20-3.64]	0.150	0.50 [0.19-1.29]	0.438	0.82 [0.49-1.36]
Mother currently <19 years old	356	194	67.8% [62.1-73.1]	1.02	0.409	1.92 [0.41-9.11]	0.615	0.63 [0.10-3.84]	0.722	1.27 [0.34-4.83]	0.231	1.41 [0.80-2.47]
Household >1 child under 5 years old	356	100	28.1% [25.1-31.3]	0.42	0.135	2.18 [0.79-6.08]	0.507	1.64 [0.38-7.01]	0.099	2.26 [0.86-5.94]	0.621	1.15 [0.67-1.96]
Household size > 5 members	356	85	23.9% [18.1-30.8]	2.00	0.120	0.20 [0.03-1.53]	0.950	1.05 [0.21-5.34]	0.205	0.38 [0.09-1.70]	0.966	0.99 [0.56-1.74]
Household size > 7 members	356	29	8.2% [5.0-12.9]	1.87	0.559	1.58 [0.34-7.40]	0.010	7.23 [1.62-32.3]	0.214	2.3 [0.62-8.56]	0.274	1.59 [0.69-3.64]
Measles vaccination Confirmed by card	341	216	60.7% [54.0-67.0]	1.64	0.032	0.53 [0.25-1.88]	0.225	0.41 [0.10-1.74]	0.423	0.68 [0.26-1.76]	0.089	0.75 [0.42-0.95]
Vitamin A supplementation	353	52	14.6% [9.5-21.8]	2.75	0.846	0.81 [0.10-6.75]	0.271	0.32 [0.04-2.45]	0.991	1.00 [0.51-1.97]	0.700	0.84 [0.35-2.01]
Fever	353	162	45.5% [38.7-52.5]	1.80	0.771	0.86 [0.31-2.37]	0.395	1.88 [0.44-8.00]	0.822	1.12 [0.43-2.89]	0.945	0.98 [0.61-1.59]
Diarrhea	353	242	68.0% [61.9-73.5]	1.43	0.041	1.51 [0.47-4.80]	0.007	2.48 [0.29-7.49]	0.033	1.76 [0.56-5.50]	0.096	1.32 [0.78-2.23]
Diarrhea for unbathed child <24 months	68	25	36.8% [32.5-43.8]	0.40	0.172	0.49 [0.18-1.36]		Perfect co	llinearity*		0.438	0.82 [0.49-1.36]



Annexing Analysis

Example linear regression results table

Tables

Risk factor Linear Regression	WHZ Children 6-59 months			MUAC Children 0-59 months			HAZ Children 6-59 months						
Indicator	N	Mean [95% CI]	SD	Design Effect	P-value	Coeff.	SE	P-value	Coeff.	SE	P-value	Coeff.	SE
Child age (months)	356	30.8 [29.0-32.5]	0.90	0.79	0.000	0.02	0.00	0.000	0.05	0.00	0.509	0.00	0.01
Mother's age (years)	270	27.4 [26.4-28.4]	0.51	1.6	0.031	0.02	0.01	0.012	0.03	0.01	0.060	0.02	0.01
Mother's MUAC (mm)	266	290.8 [28.6-29.5]	2.34	1.4	0.991	0.00	0.02	0.509	0.02	0.02	0.010	0.06	0.02
Prenatal consultations (0-n)	270	5.7 [5.2-6.2]	0.24	2.1	0.087	-0.04	0.02	0.153	-0.04	0.03	0.735	-0.01	0.02
Number of people in the household (2-n)	356	7.1 [6.8-7.5]	0.18	2.2	0.902	-0.00	0.02	0.035	-0.05	0.06	0.559	-0.01	0.02
Distance to the clinic (minutes)	356	72.8 [60.0-85.7]	6.52	0.3	0.797	0.00	0.00	0.568	0.00	0.00	0.053	-0.05	0.02
Distance to the waterpoint (minutes)	286	13.6 [11.1-16.2]	1.28	0.92	0.306	-0.00	0.00	0.259	-0.01	0.00	0.709	0.00	0.00
IDDS Score (1-14)	159	2.1 [1.9-2.3]	0.09	1.0	0.335	0.084	0.09	0.148	0.15	0.10	0.564	0.06	0.11
Postpartum rest days (0-n)	139	29.6 [23.5-35.7]	3.08	2.2	0.050	0.01	0.00	0.110	0.00	0.00	0.818	0.00	0.00
Child caregiver checklist (1-8)	313	4.1 [3.9-4.4]	0.12	1.2	0.297	0.03	0.03	0.165	-0.05	0.04	0.500	-0.03	0.04
MAHFP (months)	356	10.3 [10.2-10.5]	0.07	2.0	0.031	-0.08	0.05	0.393	-0.05	0.06	0.642	-0.03	0.06



Concluding Thoughts

- The Link NCA Methodology has recently been updated to a more rigorous analytical process of analyzing the associations between risk factors and outcome variables in order to demonstrate pathways
- Data should be carefully managed and cleaned
- Descriptive statistics should be presented for every risk factor variable
- It is recommended that P-values be derived from simple (not multivariate) logistic and linear regressions
- All analytical results should be annexed in the final Link NCA report



Your
Questions
are
Welcome



Alexandra Humphreys
ahumphreys@actioncontrelafaim.ca



Check us out at TechRRT.org or Twitter: @TechRRT

Resources

SPSS Complex Samples module:

<u>ftp://public.dhe.ibm.com/software/analytics/spss/documentation/statistics/25.0/en/client/Manuals/IBM_SPSS_Complex_Samples.pdf</u>

Logistic regression: https://www.theanalysisfactor.com/spss-procedures-logistic-regression/

Linear regression: https://www.spss-tutorials.com/linear-regression-in-spss-example/

